MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

<u>Requestor Name</u> <u>Respondent Name</u>

Sentrix Pharmacy and Discount, L.L.C. Trumbull Insurance Company

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-17-2090-01 Box Number 47

MFDR Date Received

March 13, 2017

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "The insurance carrier, Hartford, failed to take final action on the claim within the 45-day period set forth in TAC §133.240. Specifically the claim was submitted on 12/23/16 and it was received by the provider on 1/1/17 ... and no action was taken on the claim. The Pharmacy had submitted a second request for payment (on 2/16/16) based upon expiration of the 45-day period and it was received by the provider on 2/27/16 ... Again, no action was taken on the claim."

Amount in Dispute: \$2,078.06

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "There is not an OVN that addresses the use of the CMPD. Per protocol, Info Request Letter faxed to Dr James.

No response from Dr. James after 48 hrs. Lack of Information Letter faxed.

EOB issued to Sentrix Pharmacy & Discount LLC with denial for authorization."

Response Submitted by: The Hartford

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
December 23, 2016	Pharmacy – Compound	\$2,078.06	\$1,718.06

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240 sets out the procedures for payment or denial of a medical bill.

- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.502 sets out the procedures for pharmaceutical services.
- 4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 sets out the fee guidelines for pharmaceutical services.
- 5. Submitted documentation did not include an explanation of benefits presented to the requestor prior to the request for medical fee dispute resolution.

<u>Issues</u>

Is Sentrix Pharmacy and Discount, L.L.C. (Sentrix) entitled to additional reimbursement for the services in question?

Findings

Sentrix is seeking reimbursement of \$2,078.06 for a compound dispensed on December 23, 2016 with the following ingredients:

- Salt Stable LS Base, NDC 00395602157, \$572.54
- Baclofen 4%, NDC 00395803243, \$342.05
- Amitriptyline 2%, NDC 00395804843, \$87.55
- Ketoprofen 10%, NDC 00395805643, \$250.80
- Amantadine 8%, NDC 00395805843, \$465.12
- Gabapentin 5%, NDC 10695003507, \$360.00

Review of the submitted documentation does not support that an explanation of benefits was presented to Sentrix to pay, reduce, or deny the services in question prior to the request for medical fee dispute resolution as required by 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240. Therefore, the division concludes that Sentrix is eligible for reimbursement as follows.

The division finds that NDC 10695003507 is not a valid national drug code (NDC) as required by 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.502(d)(1). Therefore, this ingredient will not be considered for reimbursement.

28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 applies to the compounds in dispute and states, in pertinent part:

- (c) The insurance carrier shall reimburse the health care provider or pharmacy processing agent for prescription drugs the lesser of:
 - (1) the fee established by the following formulas based on the average wholesale price (AWP) as reported by a nationally recognized pharmaceutical price guide or other publication of pharmaceutical pricing data in effect on the day the prescription drug is dispensed:
 - (A) Generic drugs: ((AWP per unit) x (number of units) x 1.25) + \$4.00 dispensing fee per prescription = reimbursement amount;
 - (B) Brand name drugs: ((AWP per unit) x (number of units) $\times 1.09$) + \$4.00 dispensing fee per prescription = reimbursement amount;
 - (C) When compounding, a single compounding fee of \$15 per prescription shall be added to the calculated total for either paragraph (1)(A) or (B) of this subsection; or
 - (2) notwithstanding §133.20(e)(1) of this title (relating to Medical Bill Submission by Health Care Provider), the amount billed to the insurance carrier by the:
 - (A) health care provider; or
 - (B) pharmacy processing agent only if the health care provider has not previously billed the insurance carrier for the prescription drug and the pharmacy processing agent is billing on behalf of the health care provider.

The compound in dispute was billed by listing each drug included in the compound and calculating the charge for each drug separately as required by 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.502(d)(2). Each ingredient is listed below with its corresponding reimbursement amount as applicable.

Ingredient	NDC &	Price/	Total	AWP Formula	Billed Amt	Lesser of
	Туре	Unit	Units	§134.503(c)(1)	§134.503	(c)(1) and
					(c)(2)	(c)(2)
Salt Stable LS	00395602157	\$3.36	170.4	\$624.07	\$572.54	\$572.54
Base	Brand Name	Ş 3.3 0	gm	\$624.07	\$57 2 .54	\$572.5 4
Baclofen 4%	00395803243	\$35.63	9.6	\$427.56	\$342.05	\$342.05
	Generic		gm			
Amitriptyline 2%	00395804843	\$18.24	4.8	\$109.44	\$87.55	\$87.55
	Generic		gm			
Ketoprofen 10%	00395805643	\$10.45	24.0	\$313.50	\$250.80	\$250.80
	Generic		gm			
Amantadine 8%	00395805843	\$24.225	19.2	\$581.40	\$465.12	\$465.12
	Generic	\$24.225	gm		\$405.12	\$405.12
NA	NA	NA	NA	\$15.00 fee	\$0	\$0
_			•		Total	\$1,718.06

The total reimbursement is therefore \$1,718.06. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$1,718.06.

ORDER

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the division has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. The division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$1,718.06, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

Authorized Signature

	Laurie Garnes	November 22, 2017
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings* **and** *Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.